# Journal of Health Statistics Reports



### **Research Article**

Open d Access

## Micro Level Analysis on Health Insurance Enrolment among Selected Women in Ghana: Barriers and Predictors

#### **Richard Boateng**

University of Education, Winneba. Department of Health Administration and Education, Winneba, Ghana

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** The enrolment onto a health insurance scheme is a viable means of improving access and utilisation of healthcare services which play critical roles in efforts to attain universal health coverage in most countries for which Ghana is inclusive. However, at the micro level, there appears to be inequitable patterns of health insurance enrolment based on gender, and contextual factors. To account for these disparities, the Micro Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) 2017/2018 was conducted to investigate, inter alia, women enrolment on national health insurance services, and the reasons behind non-enrolment of women in the reproductive ages on health insurance schemes. Thus, this paper explores the barriers and predictors of health insurance enrolment in Ghana using data obtained from a nationality representative micro indicator cluster survey.

**Methods:** Based on health insurance enrolment trajectories, this paper comprehensively investigates the predictors of health insurance enrolment at the micro level among 9,533 women between the ages of 15 years to 49 years in Ghana using data from MICS 2017/2018 which was made available for public access in 2020. Specific items relating to health insurance enrolment which is the independent variable, and contextual and compositions factors were selected in line with relevant literature as predictors to conduct an ordinal logistic regression analysis.

**Findings:** The findings revealed that lack of money or funds, perceived irrelevance of the scheme, relative far proximity to registration centres, and lack of confidence in the operation of health insurance services accounted for the major barriers to non-enrolment. In all, the parameters under wealth index quintile were the most significant predictors of health insurance enrolment in this study. The poorest wealth quintile exhibited the highest odd ratio of 4.655 with lower bound value of 3.873 and an upper bound of 5.595 at p value of <0.001. In sum, the higher odd ratios and statistically significant values in wealth quintile index, education, pregnancy status, and regional location demonstrate the spatial-demographic disparities in enrolment among respondents which lead to exclusion of the most vulnerable in health insurance coverage, and thus, limit the realization of its objective to provide pre-payment arrangement to cater for healthcare cost and reduce financial burden among individuals and households.

**Conclusion:** It is concluded that at the micro level, access to health insurance services is likely to be impeded by system level factors and compositional attributes. There is the need for extensive subscriber segmentation and targeting to help address the challenges of people who are in most need of health insurance services. The national health insurance system should strengthen its pro-poor enrolment incentives and build synergies to derive support from relevant government and non-state actors to improve education and livelihood opportunities for women across the country.

#### \*Corresponding author

Richard Boateng, University of Education, Winneba. Department of Health Administration and Education, Winneba, Ghana.

Received: December 01, 2023; Accepted: December 05, 2023; Published: January 16, 2024

#### Abbreviations

MICS: Micro Indicator Cluster Study OR: Odd Ratio CI: Confidence Interval

#### Background

Globally, several efforts have been made to assess the barriers and facilitators in access and utilization of health services at the system, household, and individual levels. Such efforts have usually considered compositional and contextual attributes of study populations and the basic enumeration units. It has been widely asserted that enrolment onto health insurance schemes is a viable means of improving access and utilization of health services and serves as an accentuating element in national efforts towards the accomplishment of universal health coverage [1-3]. However, at the micro level, there appears to be inequitable patterns in health insurance enrolment based on gender, and contextual factors. It has been asserted that there are inequalities in healthcare services when using health insurance schemes [4-5]. In Ghana, several efforts have been made to expand access to healthcare services through health insurance and these efforts have yielded significant results [6-7]. Further, it has been asserted that the enrolment on health insurance in Ghana protects individuals and households against catastrophic out of pocket expenditure and further serves as a means of poverty reduction [8]. Notwithstanding these assertions, it has been stressed that relatively, women have higher enrolment than men in the national health insurance system in Ghana [9]. However, there appears to be huge disparities in enrolment and renewal of health insurance among women in Ghana. To account for these disparities, the Micro Indicator Cluster

Survey (MICS) 2017/2018 was conducted to investigate, inter alia, women enrolment on national health insurance services, and ascertain the reasons behind the non-enrolment of some women on any of such schemes. Considering existing variations in the trajectories of enrolment and renewal, this paper comprehensively investigates the predictors of health insurance enrolment at the micro level among selected women between the ages of 15 years to 49 years in Ghana who took part in the Micro Inductor Cluster Survey conducted by the Ghana Statistical Service in 2017-2018 for which access to the dataset was granted in 2020. Thus, this paper explores the barriers and predictors of health insurance enrolment in Ghana using data obtained from a nationality representative micro indicator cluster survey.

#### Methods

This paper relies on data obtained from survey conducted by the Ghana Statistical Service with support from UNICEF and which is made available upon request and approval of key stakeholders. The global and country-specific data collection benchmarks established, in the MIC survey, its adherence to ethical principles and its availability for public verification makes it a viable source of data for the conduct of national research activities [10]. In all, individual surveys were held with 14,374 women who were between the ages of 15-49 years across all regions in Ghana. To conduct logistic regression analysis, the individual variables were analyzed to exclude missing cases which would affect the possibility of generating the ordinal logistic regression model. Hence, 9533 respondents surveyed formed the basis analysis in this paper.

For this paper, specific items relating to health insurance enrolment which is the independent variable and measured contextual and compositions factors were selected as the predictors to conduct an ordinal logistic regression analysis. In relation to findings from the existing literature, the selected antecedent constructs include age group, education, marital or union status, and pregnancy situation. Further, habitation with a son or daughter, functional difficulties, wealth index quintile and the ethnicity of household head were considered. Also, contextual variables of rural-urban residence and regional locations were further examined.

The presentation of the findings highlights the descriptive dimension which focuses on the forms of health insurance enrolment, and the perceived reasons or barriers to non-enrolment. The paper delved deeper to present the Wald Odd Ratios and levels of effect exhibited by the measured items under each of the antecedent constructs. Thus, the subsequent sessions will focus on presentation of the results, discussion, and conclusion.

#### Results

This study focused on the analysis of results on 9533 respondents which constituted 66.3% of the total respondents of 14,374 after missing cases were excluded for the purposes of the logistic regression analysis. Data on the selected variables revealed that a total of 5435 (57%) of respondents have valid health insurance as compared to 4098 which did not have health insurance. The youngest age group of 15 to 25 years were 2075 (21.7%), whereas the ages between 26 to 35 years constituted 3269 (34.3%). The ages between 36 to 45 and the ages between 46 to 49 years constituted 3217 (33.7%) and 975 (33.7%) respectively. In terms of education, 6626 (69.5%) as against 2907 (30.5%) respondents indicated that they have ever been to school.

VariableMeasured itemN = 9533PercentHealth insuranceWith insurance543557.0%Without insurance409843.0%Age group15 to 25 years207221.7%26 to 35 years3212033.7%46 to 49 years921733.7%Kot 64 Sears662669.5%No2090730.5%Marital/Union status of womanCurrently married/in union17623.3%Formerly married/in union1762.3%No879492.2%No879492.2%Di cor not sure310.3%Any sons or dughters (age 18-49 years)Yes422344.3%No531055.7%Functional difficultisHas functional difficulty856989.9%Wealth index quintile (age 18-49 years)Poorst246425.8%Fourth174818.3%10.1%Midele178118.7%Kuicket181110.0%Functional difficultis1600%357.9%Marial14312.0%Marial14312.0%Marial14313.3%Marial14313.0%Marial14313.0%Marial14314.3%Marial14414.3%Marial14414.3%Marial14414.3%Marial14414.3%Marial14414.3% <trr>Maria143<th>Т</th><th>able 1: Selected V</th><th>ariables</th><th></th></trr>	Т	able 1: Selected V	ariables		
Health insurance543557.0%Without insurance409843.0%Age group15 to 25 years207221.7%26 to 35 years326934.3%46 to 49 years97510.2%Ever attended schoolYes66260.5%Marital/Union statusYes66260.5%Morean20073.5%3.5%Morean20073.5%3.5%Morean20073.5%3.5%Morean20073.5%3.5%Morean11762.3%2.3%Morean87942.2%3.5%Currently pregnantYes9.7%3.5%No87949.2%3.5%Currently pregnantYes422344.3%Any sons or daughtersYes422344.3%No53105.7%5.7%Functional difficultsPores246425.8%Functional difficulty9641.1%1.1%Maidel172918.1%1.1%Midel178118.1%1.1%Midel178118.1%1.1%Functional difficulty174818.3%Midel17811.2%Maidel17811.2%Midel17811.2%Midel17811.2%Midel17811.2%Midel17811.2%Midel17811.2%Midel1717.5%Midel12042.1% </th <th>Variable</th> <th>Measured item</th> <th>N = 9533</th> <th>Percent</th>	Variable	Measured item	N = 9533	Percent	
Without insurance409843.0%Age group15 to 25 years320721.7%26 to 35 years320933.7%36 to 45 years321733.7%For attended schoot750.2%Warantal/Union status womanCurrently married/in union745478.2%Formerly married/in union745478.2%Formerly married/in union745478.2%Formerly married/in union716478.2%Formerly married/in union716478.2%Formerly married/in union716478.2%Formerly married/in union78.4%78.4%Formerly married/in union79.4%78.4%Formerly married/in uni	Health insurance	With insurance	5435	57.0%	
Age group15 to 25 years207221.7%26 to 35 years326934.3%36 to 45 years321733.7%46 to 49 years97510.2%Ever attended schoolYes662669.5%No200730.5%30.5%Marital/Union status of womanCurrently married/in union11612.3%Formerly married/in union1162.3%32.5%Formerly married/in union039.5%32.6%Currently pregnant7087.4%7.4%No rot sor are to rot ox rot sure31.0035.7%Functional difficultios (afficulty74435.7%Functional difficultios (difficulty96410.1%Male functional difficulty96410.1%Wealth index quintile (afficulty856989.9%Wealth index quintile (afficulty856989.9%Middle178118.1%Functional difficultios (difficulty1737.5%Wealth index quintile (afficulty174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Guan3463.6%Functional difficulties14310.0%Functional difficulties (difficulty114312.0%Wealth index quintile (afficulty114312.0%Functional difficulties (difficulty114312.0%Functional difficulties (ficulty114312.0%Functional difficulties (ficulty114312.0%		Without insurance	4098	43.0%	
26 to 35 years326941.3%36 to 45 years32173.37%46 to 49 years97510.2%Fver attended schoolYes626.09.5%Marital/Union status of union200730.5%Marital/Union status of union1745.02.3%Formerly married/in union1176.02.3%Formerly married/in union1176.02.3%Formerly married/in union903.02.5%Currently pregnant to 1000789.2%May sons or daughters to 1000789.2%Mart sons or daughters to 1000789.2%Functional difficulties difficulty310.05.7%Functional difficulties difficulty5310.05.7%Functional difficulties difficulty85698.9%Wealth index quintile fourth164.0151.0Functional difficulties172.018.1%Madde173.07.5%Fundity143.015.0%Fundity143.015.0%Fundity143.015.0%Fundity143.015.0%Fundity143.015.0%Fundity143.015.0%Fundity143.015.0%Fundity143.015.0%Fundity143.015.0%Fundity143.015.0%Functional difficulty143.015.0%Functional difficulty143.015.0%Functional head143.015.0% <td>Age group</td> <td>15 to 25 years</td> <td>2072</td> <td>21.7%</td>	Age group	15 to 25 years	2072	21.7%	
36 to 45 years321733.7%46 to 49 years97510.2%Ever attended schoolYes662669.5%No290730.5%Marital/Union status of womanCurrently married/in union74548.2%Formerly married/in union11762.3%Formerly married/in union9039.5%Currently pregnant union87949.2%No87949.2%Any sons or daughter not living with youYes87949.2%No53105.57%Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has functional difficulty89.9%Wealth index quintile beach (age 18-49 years)Poorest24642.8%Functional difficulties (afficulty171818.7%Fourth (age 18-49 years)Poorest24642.58%Wealth index quintile beach (age 18-49 years)Poorest24642.58%Fourth (age 174)171818.7%Fourth (age 174)171818.7%Fourth (ado 172)18.1%18.7%Fourth (ado 211312.0%Galzbargmen7137.5%Fourth (adua)3624.0%Fourth (adua)3624.0%Fourth (adua)22042.31%Fourth (adua)3624.0%Fourth (adua)3624.0%Fourth (adua)3624.0%Fourth (adua)3624.0%Fourth (adua		26 to 35 years	3269	34.3%	
46 to 49 years97510.2%Ever attended schoolYes662669.5%No290730.5%Marital/Union status of womanCurrently married/in union745478.2%Morrenty married/in union117612.3%Never married/in union9039.5%Currently pregnant De or our sure7087.4%Any sons or daughter not living with youYes7087.4%No531055.7%31035.7%Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has functional difficulty89.9%300Wealth index quintile head (age 18-49 years)Poorest246425.8%Functional difficulties (afficulty178118.7%Fourth174818.1%Fourth174818.3%Functional difficulties (afficulty114312.0%Functional difficulties (afficulty114312.0%Fourth174812.0%310Fourth174812.0%Ethnicity of household headGa/Dangme11312.0%Guan3824.0%3103.6%Grusi4024.2%4.3%Made424.2%Mande12.0%13.1%Forth14312.0%Guan3520.1%Fourth14312.0%Guan3220.4%Guan3220.4%Guan3220.5%Guan326		36 to 45 years	3217	33.7%	
Fver attended schoolYes662669.5%No290730.5%Marital/Union status of womanCurrently married/in union745478.2%Formerly parried/in union117612.3%Formerly parried/in union9039.5%Currently pregnant7087.4%No879492.2%Dk or not sure310.3%Any sons or daughters not living with youYes422344.3%Functional difficulties difficulty86410.1%Functional difficulties difficulty856989.9%Wealth index quintile head difficulty246425.8%Wealth index quintile head difficulties174818.3%Functional difficulties difficulty174818.3%Functional difficulties difficulties174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Functional difficulties difficulties174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Functional difficulties difficulties174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Exeme114312.0%Ga/Dangme7137.5%Eve114312.0%Gruna3824.0%Mole Dagbani220423.1%Gruna3820.1%AreaUrban51.1%Mande42744.9%AreaUrban <td< td=""><td></td><td>46 to 49 years</td><td>975</td><td>10.2%</td></td<>		46 to 49 years	975	10.2%	
No290730.5%Marital/Union status of womanCurrently married/in union745478.2%Formerly married/in union117612.3%Never married/in union9039.5%Currently pregnant7087.4%No879492.2%Dk or not sure310.3%Any sons or daughters not living with youYes422344.3%No531055.7%Functional difficults difficultyNo531055.7%Functional difficults difficulty856989.9%Mas functional difficulty856989.9%Wealth index quintile fourthPoorest24642.8%Functional difficulty178118.1%Middle178118.7%Fourth174818.3%Richest181119.0%Ethnicity of househol headAkan35793.5%Gruma3824.0%Gruma3824.0%Mole Dagbani21042.1%Grusi4024.2%Mande22042.1%Grusi4020.1%AreaUrban551.1%Other7177.5%Exern10342.0%AreaUrban5265.1%AreaUrban5265.1%AreaUrban6269.0%Area103410.8%Area10349.0%Area1034	Ever attended school	Yes	6626	69.5%	
Marital/Union status of womanCurrently married/in union745478.2%Formerly married/in union117612.3%Never married/in union9039.5%Currently pregnant7087.4%No879492.2%Dk or not sure310.3%Any sons or daughters not living with youYes, currently pregnant748No531055.7%Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has functional difficulty856989.9%Wealth index quintile headPoorest246425.8%Wealth index quintile headPoorest246425.8%Functional difficulty171818.7%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174812.0%Ethnicity of household headAkan357937.5%Fue114312.0%10.0%Gunan3463.6%36%Gunan3424.4%10.1%Mode Dagbani22.0423.1%23.1%Grusi4024.2%10.1%AreaUrban4274.4%Mande420.4%10.1%AreaUrban52565.1%Region9149.6%26.1%Grusi40349.0%10.8%AreaUrban8609.0%AreaUrban8609.0%AreaUrban10		No	2907	30.5%	
Formerly married/in union117612.3%Never married/in union9039.5%Currently pregnant No7087.4%No879492.2%Dk or not sure310.3%Any sons or daughters not living with youYes422344.3%No531055.7%Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has functional difficulty96410.1%Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has no functional difficulty856989.9%Wealth index quintif boroest246425.8%Fourth178118.1%Middle178118.1%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.0%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Fourth174818.3%Fourth17492.0%Fourth17492.0%Fourth14312.0%Fourth3463.6%Fourth2042.1%Fourth2042.1%Fourth2042.1%Fourth2042.1%Fourth2042.1%Fourth2042.1%Fourth2042.1%Fourth2042.1%Fourth2042.1%Fourth2049.0%	Marital/Union status of woman	Currently married/in union	7454	78.2%	
Never married/in union9039.5%Currently pregnant No7.087.4%No879492.2%No rot sure310.3%Any sons or daughters not living with youYes422344.3%No531055.7%55.7%Functional difficulties 		Formerly married/in 1176 12.3% union		12.3%	
Yes, currently pregnant7087.4%No879492.2%Dk or not sure310.3%Any sons or daughters not living with youYes422344.3%No531055.7%Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has functional difficulty96410.1%Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has no functional difficulty856989.9%Wealth index quintile Mealth index quintile (add ed72618.1%18.1%Functional difficulty246425.8%25.8%Functional difficulty246425.8%25.8%Wealth index quintile (add ed172918.1%18.1%Middle178118.7%18.1%Function of household headAkan357937.5%Functity of household headAkan357937.5%Gauzante Guana14312.0%364Gouna3463.6%3.6%Gruma3824.0%4.0%Made420.4%3.6%AreaUrban4274.49%RegionYuban52.6%5.1%RegionWestern9149.6%Gruna8839.0%AreaMarka4.0%AreaUrban4.02AreaUrban4.04Area3.6%5.1%AreaGuard10.4AreaMealter9.0%AreaMealter9.0%Are		Never married/in union	903	9.5%	
No879492.2%Dk or not sure310.3%Any sons or daughters not living with youYes422344.3%No531055.7%Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has functional difficulty96410.1%Has no functional 	Currently pregnant	Yes, currently pregnant	708	7.4%	
Dk or not sure310.3%Any sons or daughters not living with youYes422344.3%No531055.7%Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has functional difficulty96410.1%Has no functional difficulty856989.9%Wealth index quintile NoPoorest246425.8%Second172918.1%Middle178118.7%Fourth174818.3%Richest181119.0%Ethnicity of household headAkan357937.5%Guan3463.6%3.6%Gruma3824.0%Mole Dagbani220423.1%Other7177.5%Mande420.4%Other7177.5%RegionWestern9149.6%Central8809.0%Greater Accra103410.8%Volta8959.4%Eastern9459.9%Ashanti126713.3%Forg Ahafo8839.3%		No	8794	92.2%	
Any sons or daughters not living with you     Yes     4223     44.3%       No     5310     55.7%       Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)     Has functional difficulty     964     10.1%       Wealth index quintile     Has no functional difficulty     8569     89.9%       Wealth index quintile     Poorest     2464     25.8%       Second     1729     18.1%       Middle     1781     18.7%       Fourth     1748     18.3%       Richest     1811     19.0%       Ethnicity of household head     Akan     3579     37.5%       Gu2namgme     713     7.5%       Ewe     1143     12.0%       Guan     346     3.6%       Gruna     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%		Dk or not sure	31	0.3%	
not living with youNo531055.7%Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has functional difficulty96410.1%Has no functional difficulty856989.9%Wealth index quintile Poorest246425.8%Second172918.1%Middle178118.7%Fourth174818.3%Richest181119.0%Ethnicity of household headAkan357937.5%Ga/Damgme7137.5%Guan3463.6%Gruma3824.0%Mole Dagbani220423.1%Grusi4024.2%Mande420.4%Other7177.5%Unknown50.1%AreaUrban422744.9%RegionWestern9149.6%Central8809.0%Grater Accra103410.8%Volta8959.4%Eastern9459.9%Ashanti126713.3%Brong Ahafo8839.3%Northern103910.9%Upper East7798.2%Upper West9179.6%	Any sons or daughters	Yes	4223	44.3%	
Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)Has functional difficulty96410.1%Has no functional difficulty856989.9%Wealth index quintile Has no functional difficultyPoorest246425.8%Second172918.1%Middle178118.7%Fourth174818.3%Richest181119.0%Ethnicity of household headAkan357937.5%Ewe114312.0%Guan3463.6%Gruma3824.0%Mole Dagbani220423.1%Grusi4024.2%Mande420.4%Other7177.5%Unknown50.1%AreaUrban427744.9%RegionWestern9149.6%Central8609.0%Greater Accra103410.8%Volta8959.4%Eastern9459.9%Ashanti126713.3%Brong Ahafo8839.3%Northern103910.9%Upper East7798.2%Upper West9179.6%	not living with you	No	5310	55.7%	
Has no functional difficulty     8569     89.9%       Wealth index quintile     Poorest     2464     25.8%       Second     1729     18.1%       Middle     1781     18.7%       Fourth     1748     18.3%       Richest     1811     19.0%       Ethnicity of household head     Akan     3579     37.5%       Ewe     1143     12.0%       Ga/Damgme     713     7.5%       Ewe     1143     12.0%       Guan     346     3.6%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Volta     860     9.0%       Eastern     945	Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)	Has functional difficulty	964	10.1%	
Wealth index quintile     Poorest     2464     25.8%       Second     1729     18.1%       Middle     1781     18.7%       Fourth     1748     18.3%       Richest     1811     19.0%       Ethnicity of household head     Akan     3579     37.5%       Ga/Damgme     713     7.5%       Ewe     1143     12.0%       Guan     346     3.6%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Inknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9% <		Has no functional difficulty	8569	89.9%	
Second     1729     18.1%       Middle     1781     18.7%       Fourth     1748     18.3%       Richest     1811     19.0%       Ethnicity of household head     Akan     3579     37.5%       Ga/Damgme     713     7.5%       Ewe     1143     12.0%       Guan     346     3.6%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Inknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     427     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     914     9.6%       Greater Acera     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779<	Wealth index quintile	Poorest	2464	25.8%	
Middle     1781     18.7%       Fourth     1748     18.3%       Richest     1811     19.0%       Ethnicity of household head     Akan     3579     37.5%       Ga/Damgme     713     7.5%       Ewe     1143     12.0%       Guan     346     3.6%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Mande     427     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Vuknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%     6       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%     6       Eastern     945     9.9%     3%       Morthern		Second	1729	18.1%	
Fourth     1748     18.3%       Richest     1811     19.0%       Ethnicity of household head     Akan     3579     37.5%       Ga/Damgme     713     7.5%       Ewe     1143     12.0%       Guan     346     3.6%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Krea     Urban     5     0.1%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Middle	1781	18.7%	
Richest     1811     19.0%       Ethnicity of household head     Akan     3579     37.5%       Ga/Damgme     713     7.5%       Ewe     1143     12.0%       Guan     346     3.6%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Inknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Fourth	1748	18.3%	
Ethnicity of household head     Akan     3579     37.5%       Ga/Damgme     713     7.5%       Ewe     1143     12.0%       Guan     346     3.6%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Richest	1811	19.0%	
head     Ga/Damgme     713     7.5%       Ewe     1143     12.0%       Guan     346     3.6%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%	Ethnicity of household	Akan	3579	37.5%	
Ewe     1143     12.0%       Guan     346     3.6%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%	head	Ga/Damgme	713	7.5%	
Guan     346     3.6%       Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Ewe	1143	12.0%	
Gruma     382     4.0%       Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Guan	346	3.6%	
Mole Dagbani     2204     23.1%       Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Gruma	382	4.0%	
Grusi     402     4.2%       Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Mole Dagbani	2204	23.1%	
Mande     42     0.4%       Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Grusi	402	4.2%	
Other     717     7.5%       Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Mande	42	0.4%	
Unknown     5     0.1%       Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Other	717	7.5%	
Area     Urban     4277     44.9%       Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Unknown	5	0.1%	
Rural     5256     55.1%       Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%	Area	Urban	4277	44.9%	
Region     Western     914     9.6%       Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Rural	5256	55.1%	
Central     860     9.0%       Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%	Region	Western	914	9.6%	
Greater Accra     1034     10.8%       Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Central	860	9.0%	
Volta     895     9.4%       Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Greater Accra	1034	10.8%	
Eastern     945     9.9%       Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Volta	895	9.4%	
Ashanti     1267     13.3%       Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Eastern	945	9.9%	
Brong Ahafo     883     9.3%       Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Ashanti	1267	13.3%	
Northern     1039     10.9%       Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Brong Ahafo	883	9.3%	
Upper East     779     8.2%       Upper West     917     9.6%		Northern	1039	10.9%	
Upper West 917 9.6%		Upper East	779	8.2%	
		Upper West	917	9.6%	

Further, 7454 (78.2%) of the respondents were married whereas 1176 (12.3%) were formally married or in union. However, 903 (9.5%) were never married or in union. The data revealed that 708 (7.4%) of the respondents were pregnant at the time of the survey. The indicator on whether a respondent was not living with a son or daughter indicated that majority of the respondents as signified by 5310 (55.7%) were living with a child as at the time of the survey. It was further ascertained that 964 (10.1%) of the respondents have functional difficulties. In terms of wealth quintile, 2464 (25.8%) were in the poorest quintile. Moreover, 4277 (44.9%) of the respondent resided in urban areas and the respondents were fairly distributed across the administrative regions. Subsequent analysis revealed that among the 5435 respondents who have health insurance, 5412 were on the national health insurance whereas 35 and 20 respondents had employee and private health insurance respectively.

#### **Barriers to Health Insurance Enrolment**

The barriers to enrolment were elicited from a proxy indicator on the reason for non-enrolment. It was observed that issues relating no money or funds, perceived irrelevance of the scheme, relative far proximity to registration centres, and lack of confidence in the operation of health insurance services accounted for the major barriers to non-enrolment.



Figure 1: Perceived Barriers to Enrolment

After the barriers identified, the study sought to establish the predictive ability of the independent variables using an ordinal logistic regression model.

#### **Logistic Regression Analysis**

Preliminary analysis was conducted to support the conduct of ordinal logistic regression where health insurance enrolment constituted the dependent variable, and the compositional and contextual variables formed the threshold of independent variables in the model. The analysis on goodness of fit revealed a deviance value/df of 1.288 and chi-square value/df of 1.040. The omnibus test value shows a significant value of p<0.001. The suitability of the values obtained allowed for the conduct of ordinal logistic regression analysis to estimate the Wald chi-square values and odd ratios that permitted in the identification of drivers of health insurance enrolment in this study. In the generalized model, except for age, functional difficulty, and area, all the other variables had significant effect.

The detailed results from the regression analysis revealed that age is not a significant predicator of health insurance enrolment among women between 15 to 49 years as exhibited as in by an insignificant statistical values and odd ratios ranging from 0.742, 0.881 and 0.916 across respectively parameters. However, the odd ratio of 0.846 within a Wald interval of 0.755 to 0.949 and p-value <0.005 showed that education was a significant predicator of health insurance enrolment among women in this study. Further observance of odd ratios of 0.896 and 1.129 at p values of 0.175

and 0.217 shows that marital union is not a significant predictor of health insurance enrolment among women in the defined reproductive age group. On the contrary, with an odd ratio of 0.229 and p < 0.001, pregnancy is a significant predictor of health insurance under the parameters established in this study. The logistic regression analysis vividly depicts that living with a child is a significant predictor of health insurance enrolment among women as shown by an odd ratio of 1.186 with lower bound of 1.075 and an upper bound of 1.309 at p < 0.001. It was further observed that functional difficulty is not a significant predictor despite the odd ratio of 1.033. More importantly, progressively all parameters under wealth index quintile were the most significant predictors of health insurance enrolment in this study. Specifically, the poorest quintile exhibited the highest odd ratio of 4.655 with lower bound of 3.873 and an upper bound of 5.595 at a p value of < 0.001.

#### **Table 2: Ordinal Logistic Regression Analysis**

Variables	Exp(B)	95% Wald Confidence Interval for Exp(B)						
		Lower	Upper	p value				
Age Group								
15 to 25 years	.893	.742	1.075	.232				
26 to 35 years	1.036	.881	1.219	.667				
36 to 45 years	1.068	.916	1.244	.402				
46 to 49 years	1							
Ever attended scl	nool							
Yes	.846	.755	.949	.004				
No	1							
Marital/Union sta	atus of wor	nan						
Currently married/in union	.896	.765	1.050	.175				
Formerly married/in union	1.129	.931	1.368	.217				
Never married/ in union	1							
Currently pregnant								
Yes, currently pregnant	.229	.107	.493	.000				
No	.676	.321	1.424	.303				
Dk or not sure	1							
Any sons or daughters not living with you								
Yes	1.186	1.075	1.309	.001				
No	1							
Functional difficulties (age 18-49 years)								
Has functional difficulty	1.033	.896	1.192	.655				
Has no functional difficulty	1							
Wealth index quintile								
Poorest	4.655	3.873	5.595	.000				
Second	3.436	2.892	4.084	.000				
Middle	2.537	2.165	2.972	.000				
Fourth	2.028	1.747	2.355	.000				
Richest	1							

Ethnicity of household head								
Akan	1.984	.324	12.144	.458				
Ga/Damgme	2.487	.405	15.281	.325				
Ewe	2.443	.397	15.021	.335				
Guan	1.377	.222	8.543	.731				
Gruma	2.128	.343	13.184	.417				
Mole Dagbani	1.862	.304	11.415	.502				
Grusi	1.783	.288	11.036	.534				
Mande	3.849	.565	26.228	.169				
Other	1.569	.255	9.645	.627				
Unknown	1							
Area								
Urban	.948	.848	1.060	.346				
Rural	1							
Region								
Western	1.508	1.189	1.912	.001				
Central	2.180	1.705	2.789	.000				
Greater Accra	2.631	2.055	3.368	.000				
Volta	.936	.715	1.225	.630				
Eastern	1.546	1.218	1.962	.000				
Ashanti	1.383	1.107	1.727	.004				
Brong Ahafo	.590	.467	.745	.000				
Northern	1.262	1.038	1.534	.020				
Upper East	.736	.602	.901	.003				
Upper West	1							

OR=Odd Ratio, C1=95% Wald Confidence Internal for Exp (B), 1 = Set to zero because this parameter is redundant.

The parameters under ethnicity and urban/rural residence did not have significant effect in this study. However, the odd ratios observed under majority of the regional location parameters had higher odd ratios and significant p values as shown in the case of Greater Accra with an odd ratio of 2.631 and p < 0.001. Thus, the model presents the predictive abilities of the compositional and attribute factors on health insurance through the established odd ratios, Wald confidence interval of 95% and p values, whiles setting redundant parameters to zero.

#### Discussion

This study was set out to ascertain the barriers and predictors of health insurance enrolment among women in a defined active age group using data collected in a Micro Indicator Cluster Survey. Guided by existing literature and globally accepted benchmarks on the efforts towards the accomplishment of universal health coverage through health insurance, specific compositional and contextual variables were selected for this study. Relatively, health insurance enrolment among the respondents in this study was higher as signified by 57% and this conforms with the findings of scholars who opined that health insurance enrolment in Ghana is slightly higher among women than men [9]. However, the 43% non-enrolled respondents substantiate the arguments on inequities in enrolment among women in Ghana and the developing world, and hence, their consequent exposure to catastrophic expenditure and impoverishment due to healthcare cost.

The trickle-down effect of such burden can be seen in the major attribution of non-enrolment to lack of money or funds, as accounting for the major barrier in this study. Significant barriers also exist in the proximity to first-time health insurance service registration centers, and low level of awareness on relevance of the scheme and the associated lack of confidence in the operation of the scheme as indicated by some respondents. Some of these barriers aligns with some general findings on health insurance in Ghana [11-13]. These barriers require urgent attention from policymakers and managers of existing health insurance schemes as they seek to widen coverage for people in a social and economic safety net.

Further, the observance of higher odd ratios and statistically significant values in wealth quintile index, education, pregnancy status, and regional location demonstrate the spatial-demographic disparities in enrolment among respondents which lead to exclusion of the most vulnerable in health insurance coverage, and thus, limit the realization of the objective of the health insurance system to provide pre-payment arrangement to cater for healthcare cost and reduce financial burden among individuals and households. These findings reflect the trends in general health insurance situation in Ghana [14-15]. Hence, effective mechanisms should be put in place to enhance the agency and livelihood of women to improve access and utilization of health services through health insurance enrolment.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, the finding depicts that at the micro level, access to health insurance services is likely to be impeded by system level factors and compositional attributes. Thus, there is the need for extensive subscriber segmentation and targeting to help address the challenges of people who are in most needs of health insurance services. The national health insurance system should strengthen its pro-poor enrolment incentives and build synergies with relevant government and non-state actors to improve education and livelihood opportunities for women across the country.

#### Declarations

#### Ethical approval and informed consent

The United Nation International Children Emergency Fund (UNICEF) and the Ghana Statistical Service obtained informed consent for each respondent participating. The right to use the data was granted to the Author by the Ghana Statistical Service after approval of the data request protocols. The standard guideline can be found at http://mics.unicef.org/tools#data-processing.

#### **Consent for publication**

The author consent to the publication of this paper.

#### Availability of Data and Materials

The data is available upon request

#### **Competing Interest**

The author declares that there is no competing interest

#### **Funding Support**

The data for the Micro Indicator Study was funded by UNICEF, under an agreement with the Ghana Statistical Service.

#### **Author's Contribution**

RB wrote the entire paper.

#### Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to all participants and the Ghana Statistical service for granting access to the data and approving the use of the data.

#### References

- 1. Boateng, Richard, Alfred Edwin Yawson (2019) Towards the Universal Health Coverage in Ghana: An exploratory, cross-sectional study on the National Health Insurance Scheme. J Health Soc Sci 4: 233-252.
- Kotoh, Agnes Millicent, Genevieve Cecilia Aryeetey, and Sjaak Van der Geest. "Factors that influence enrolment and retention in Ghana'National Health Insurance Scheme." International journal of health policy and management 7:443-454
- 3. Kutzin, Joseph(2013) "Health financing for universal coverage and health system performance: concepts and implications for policy." Bulletin of the World Health Organization 91: 602-611.
- 4. Odeyemi, Isaac AO (2014) "Community-based health insurance programmes and the national health insurance scheme of Nigeria: challenges to uptake and integration." International journal for equity in health 13: 1-13.
- Allcock, Sophie H., Elizabeth H. Young, Manjinder S. Sandhu (2019)"Sociodemographic patterns of health insurance coverage in Namibia." International journal for equity in health18 1 : 1-11.
- Dixon, Jenna, Eric Y. Tenkorang, Isaac Luginaah.(2013) "Ghana's National Health Insurance Scheme: a national level investigation of members' perceptions of service provision." BMC International Health and Human Rights 13 : 1-10.
- 7. Awoonor-Williams, John Koku, Paulina Tindana, Philip Ayizem Dalinjong, Harry Nartey, et al(2016) "Does the operations of the National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS) in Ghana align with the goals of Primary Health Care? Perspectives of key stakeholders in northern Ghana." BMC International Health and Human Rights 16: 1-11.
- Aryeetey, Genevieve Cecilia, Judith Westeneng, Ernst Spaan, Caroline Jehu-Appiah et al (2016)"Can health insurance protect against out-of-pocket and catastrophic expenditures and also support poverty reduction? Evidence from Ghana's National Health Insurance Scheme." International journal for equity in health 15: 1-11.
- 9. Ayanore, Martin Amogre, Milena Pavlova, Nuworza Kugbey, Adam Fusheini et al(2019) "Health insurance coverage, type of payment for health insurance, and reasons for not being insured under the National Health Insurance Scheme in Ghana." Health economics review 9: 1-15.
- 10. Anaba, Emmanuel Anongeba, Akua Tandoh, Foday Robert Sesay, Theopista Fokukora (2022)"Factors associated with health insurance enrolment among Ghanaian children under the five years: analysis of secondary data from a national survey." BMC Health Services Research 1: 1-8.
- 11. Nsiah-Boateng, Eric, Mariam Musah, Collins Danso Akuamoah, Francis Asenso-Boadi, Francis-Xavier Andoh-Adjei(2023)"Effect of mobile phone-based health insurance contribution payment system on retention of coverage in the National Health Insurance Scheme in Ghana: an evaluation study." BMC Health Services Research 1: 1-9.
- 12. Otieno, Peter, Gustavo Angeles, Sarah Quiñones, Vincent van Halsema, Jacob Novignon, (2022)"Health services availability and readiness moderate cash transfer impacts on health insurance enrolment: evidence from the LEAP 1000 cash transfer program in Ghana." BMC Health Services

Research 1: 599.

- 13. Vellekoop, Heleen, Emmanuel Odame, Jessica Ochalek (2022) "Supporting a review of the benefits package of the National Health Insurance Scheme in Ghana." Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation 1 : 1-14.
- 14. Van Der Wielen, Nele, Jane Falkingham, Andrew Amos Channon(2018) "Determinants of National Health Insurance enrolment in Ghana across the life course: Are the results consistent between surveys?." International journal for equity in health 17: 1-14.
- 15. Nsiah-Boateng, Eric, Moses Aikins.(2018) "Trends and characteristics of enrolment in the National Health Insurance Scheme in Ghana: a quantitative analysis of longitudinal data." Global health research and policy 1: 1-10.

**Copyright:** ©2024 Richard Boateng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.